

Sinclair's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is an obvious electioneering ploy.

This sudden corporate move to air a documentary against the opponent of an incumbent president is an obvious ploy to disseminate the financially motivated opinion of the parent company, regardless of the feelings of the public and the stations forced to broadcast the program.

The broadcast of an obviously anti-opposition political documentary should be charged as paid advertising by the Bush campaign. Parading a politically motivated "documentary" as "news" is pathetic, and shows that they are grasping at whatever straws their corporate hands can reach.

Sinclair's actions definitively illustrate why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

Thank you for your attention.